UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,764	04/28/2006	Tetsutaka Yabuta	062497	6934
38834 7590 04/03/2009 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER	
			TOPGYAL, GELEK W	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/577,764	YABUTA, TETSUTAKA		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	GELEK TOPGYAL	2621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tutte, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are sidentification.	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner.	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/577,764 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (US 2004/052504) in view of Mori (JP 402252154).

Regarding claim 1, Yamada et al. teaches a mobile telephone device (Fig. 2, Mobile communication device 20) equipped with a broadcast receiving function (Fig. 2, 222), a received broadcast recording and reproducing function (Fig. 2, 224 and 233), comprising:

However fails to particularly teach that it includes a digital camera function and a means for performing character recognition on an image photographed with a digital camera; a means for automatically extracting a numerical sequence out of character-recognized characters, decoding the extracted numerical sequence, and determining whether or not the numerical sequence is a valid timer video recording code; and a means for receiving and recording broadcast based on a timer video recording code obtained by the character recognition.

Mori teaches in constitution that a scanner (digital camera function) is able to scan an input sheet 16 into the system. The scanned image is then processed to character recognize the areas filled in with "a, b, c, d, e, f, g and h", the plurality of which corresponds to characters. The computer 10 determines the characters (numbers) filled in to determine a picture recording reservation. The system is the programmed to set the picture recording reservation.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the ability to character recognize a captured image to determine characters that correspond to a recording reservation as taught by Mori into the mobile communication device 20 of Yamada so that picture recording ability of a desired program can be done on a mobile device.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Mori teaches of controlling a CRT to display the plurality of codes that were determined by the computer 13. The user has the ability to verify the plurality of codes that were entered and therefore initiates a recording reservation.

4. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (US 2004/052504) in view of Mori (JP 402252154) as applied to claims 1 and 3-4 above, and furthermore.

Regarding claim 2, the proposed combination of Yamada and Mori teaches the claimed as discussed in claim 1 above, however fails to particularly teach that the image to processed to recognize the characters are received by way of an email.

In an analogous art, Eguchi et al. teaches in Fig. 19 of a device capable of sending recording reservations by way of an email.

The recording reservation of in the proposed combination of Yamada and Mori is transmitted directly to the computer 13, however, Eguchi's email would allow for the image to sent via an email. The recording reservation of Eguchi's email would be modified to allow for the scanned image of Mori to be transmitted instead.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Eguchi into the proposed combination of Yamada and Mori so that when the image is not available at a given time or place, the image can still be accessed to complete a recording reservation.

Claim 5 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 2-4 above.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art teaches mobile terminals capable or receiving TV content and for systems that allows for recording reservations to be sent via email.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GELEK TOPGYAL whose telephone number is (571)272-8891. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am -5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,764 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gelek Topgyal/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/JAMIE JO VENT ATALA/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621